

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,071	10/25/2001	Gregory Barclay	3646/30206	6720	
27305 7	590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.			PATEL, VISHAL A		
	RST OFFICE CENTER, S WARD AVENUE	UITE #101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HILLS, MI 48304-5151	51	3676		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/004,071	BARCLAY ET AL.				
/ Marious y Mouse.	Examiner	Art Unit				
1.	Vishal Patel	3676				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		·			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the period of the control of the period	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amonths.	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final reje				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <sub>i -</sub>					
10. Other:	SUPERV	THER SHACKELFORI SORY PATENT EXAN NOLOGY CENTER 36	IINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is claiming intermediate steps that form the gasket having a stopper having varying height and axial length, which is taught by Kashmerick and Miyaoh. As to the argument that the references do not disclose a non-circular aperture in the plate is correct but this is an intermediate step that forms the gasket having a stopper. The references of Kashmerick and Miyaoh teach that a gasket having a stopper having a varying height and varying axial length. Furthermore if applicant considereds the non-circular aperture in the plate to be the invention, the claims should be presented in a method of making.